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ABSTRACT

Young stutterers frequently exhibit concomitant speech and/or language disorders. The co-occurrence of these disorders is, however, not yet well understood. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of “comorbidity” as it relates to the field of speech-language pathology, specifically, to discuss comorbidity (co-existence) of stuttering and disordered phonology in young children. Literature on concomitant speech and language disorders in young stutterers is reviewed, with special reference to the prevalence of articulatory/phonological disorders in young stutterers. Further research on the co-existence of two speech and language disorders is encouraged, as well as the consideration of diagnostic treatment and prognostic implications for children who exhibit both stuttering and disordered phonology as opposed to children who exhibit each disorder in isolation.

Comorbidity refers to “...any distinct additional clinical entity that has existed or that may occur during the clinical course of a patient who has the index disease under study” (Feinstein, 1970, p. 456). Comorbidity has been discussed in some detail in the medical literature, particularly in relation to psychiatric disorders (Boyd, Burke, Gruenberg, Holzer, Rae, George, Kanno, Stoltzman, McEvoy & Nestadt, 1984; Feinstein, 1970). Yet it has received little attention in the field of speech-language pathology. Although children with more than one speech disorder (e.g., stuttering and disordered phonology) are encountered frequently in clinical practice, there has been a paucity of research dedicated to understanding the coexistence and inter-relationships between two speech disorders. Indeed, Stuttering (S) and Disordered Phonology (DP) have traditionally been viewed and treated as two distinct disorders. Little attention has been paid to the prevalence of their co-occurrence in young children. Further, diagnostic treatment and prognostic features may be different for children who exhibit the co-occurrence of two speech disorders as opposed to each disorder in isolation.

Understanding the co-existence of two speech disorders in particular, Stuttering (S) and Disordered Phonology (DP), has clinical implications, for example, differential diagnosis, such as the possibility of behavioral subgroups of young stutterers. The general purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of “comorbidity” as it relates to the field of speech-language pathology. The more specific aim is to discuss the comorbidity (co-existence) of stuttering and disordered phonology exhibited in young children. Literature on concomitant speech and language disorders in young stutterers is reviewed, with special reference to the prevalence of articulatory/phonological disorders in young stutterers.

OPSOMMING

Jong hakkelaars vertoon dikwels samegaande spraak- en/of taalafivykings. Die gelijktijdige voorkoms van hierdie afivykings word tans egter nie so volle begrip nie. Die doel van hierdie artikel is om die begrip van "ko-morbiditeit" behend te set oor wat dit toegeneem word op die vakgebied van spraakhek en ook spesifiek om die gelijktijdige voorkoms van hakkel en fonologiese afivykings in jong kinders te bespreek. In die literatuurvoorsig van die gelijktijdige voorkoms van spraak- en taalafivykings in jong hakkelaars word verskyn, met spesiale verwysing na die voorkoms van artikulasië/fonologiese afivykings in jong hakkelaars. Verder navorsting oor die gelijktijdige voorkoms van twee spraak- en taalafivykings word aangemoedig. Die oorweging van diagnostiese, behandellings en prognostiese implikasies vir kinders wat beide hakkel en afivykings in jong hakkelaars vertoon, in terme van kinders wat elke afivyking afsonderlik vertoon, word aangemoedig.
Thus, little objective information is available regarding the nature and relation of these two disorders in young children. Because it appears that approximately one third of children who stutter at one time or another exhibit articulation difficulties (e.g., Cantwell & Baker, 1985), it would seem important to increase our understanding of the nature and relation between stuttering and articulatory/phonological disorders in young children.

Table 1: Published Studies on the Co-occurrence of Stuttering and Articulation/Phonological Difficulties in Young Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Stut.</th>
<th>Nonstut.</th>
<th>Source of Information</th>
<th>% Stut. with Artic. Diff.</th>
<th>% Nonstut. with Artic. Diff.</th>
<th>Summary of Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McDowell</td>
<td>(1928)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam. (Articulation Test)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>articulation difficulties with significant difference between groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schindler</td>
<td>(1955)</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>252</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>&quot;other speech disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darley</td>
<td>(1955)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Parental Reports</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>associated articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morley</td>
<td>(1957)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>&quot;other speech disorders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews and Harris</td>
<td>(1964)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>Parental Reports</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>associated articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams and Silverman</td>
<td>(1968)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>associated articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Riper</td>
<td>(1971)</td>
<td>250-300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Records</td>
<td>14.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Delayed speech and language, articulation difficulties or evidence of organic involvement (TRACK II STUTTERERS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley and Riley</td>
<td>(1979)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td>associated articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preus</td>
<td>(1981)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Records</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Riper's Track II Stutterers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daly</td>
<td>(1981)</td>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td>articulation disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood and Seider</td>
<td>(1981)</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Reports</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td>articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seider, Gladstein and Kidd</td>
<td>(1982)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>201</td>
<td></td>
<td>Parental Reports</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>no significant difference between groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson</td>
<td>(1983)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>35-45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;suspected articulation difficulties&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantwell and Baker</td>
<td>(1985)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis and Hinzman</td>
<td>(1988)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>67.96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louko, Edwards and Conture</td>
<td>(1990)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speech Exam.</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>associated articulation difficulties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ron treated for language disorders, Merits-Patterson & Reed (1981) recently showed that speech disfluencies can increase for some children who receive speech/language therapy. They investigated 27 preschool children classified into 3 groups of 9 each: language-delayed children who had received language therapy, language-delayed children who had not received therapy, and those children with normal language development. None of the 27 children had ever been diagnosed as stutterers. They found that the group of language-delayed children who received therapy produced significantly more whole-word and part-word repetitions (after therapy) than the other two groups.

Although there have been few published reports on the influence of therapy on other aspects of young stutterers’ speech and language apart from the studies by Comas (1974; cited in Bloodstein, 1987), and Merits-Patterson & Reed (1981), clinical reports suggest that stuttering often occurs secondary to the treatment of phonological and language disorders in young children. But, to our knowledge, the reverse has never been reported.

**CONCOMITANT SPEECH AND LANGUAGE DISORDERS IN YOUNG STUTTERERS**

**PREVALENCE OF ARTICULATORY/PHONOLOGICAL DISORDERS IN YOUNG STUTTERERS**

A review of studies from 1928-1990 is presented in Table 1. For each study, the author(s), date, sample size, source of information, percent stutterers and nonstutterers with articulation disorders, and major findings are summarized. “Major findings” refers to the major characteristics pertaining to the stutterers for that study.

The first of these studies was conducted by McDowell (1928). He matched 33 stutterers and 33 nonstutterers according to age, sex, intelligence, native language and racial background. For both groups, the mean age was 10 years (range = 7-12 years). A nonstandardized articulation test was used, in which each child was required to repeat a series of sentences after an examiner, who recorded errors in the production of vowels, diphthongs, consonants and consonant clusters. Findings indicated that the mean error rates for the stutterers and nonstutterers were 19% and 16%, respectively. This represented a small but statistically significant difference between the two groups. McDowell questioned the validity of these findings, however, because subjective scoring procedures were employed. Moreover, it could be argued that repetition of sounds in sentences is a different form of speech elicitation than a naming task or conversational speech, since an imitation task may overestimate the child’s performance.

Subsequent studies in the 1950's made reference to the presence of “other speech disorders” in young stutterers, with only vague suggestion that these “other disorders” were most likely to be articulation difficulties (Morley, 1957; Schindler, 1955). For example, Schindler (1955) found that 49% of 126 stuttering children had “other” speech disorders, whilst this was evident in only 15% of 252 nonstutterers. Similarly, Morley (1957) reported that 50% of 37 young stutterers and 31% of 111 nonstutterers had “other speech disorders.” It is difficult to determine from these early studies exactly what was implied by “other speech disorders.” However, it is assumed that many of these difficulties were associated with speech sound production.

More recent studies have reported specifically on the prevalence of articulation difficulties in young stutterers. Williams & Silverman (1968) found 24% of 115 school-aged stutterers had associated articulation difficulties. Riley & Riley (1979) showed this to be the case in 33% of 100 young stutterers. Daly (1981) reported that 58% of a subgroup of 25 young stutterers (n = 25), out of a larger sample (N = 138), exhibited articulation disorders. Thompson (1983) observed a 35-45% prevalence of suspected articulation difficulties in two samples (N = 31 & N = 17) of young stutterers. Recently, Cantwell & Baker (1985) reported a prevalence of approximately 30% in a sample of 40 young stutterers out of a larger sample of 600 children with speech and/or language disorders.

Stuttering. Findings of this study suggested that language and articulation difficulties varied among clinicians (cf. Nippold, 1990). Most recently, Louko, Edwards & Conture (1990) found that among 30 stutterers and 30 age-matched nonstutterers, 40% of the stutterers exhibited articulation difficulties as opposed to 7% of the nonstutterers.

One study that did not support the view that stutterers have a higher incidence of articulation disorders than nonstutterers is that by Seider, Gladsheim & Kidd (1982). In their study, informants were questioned about the presence of articulation disorders in stutterers and same-sex nonstuttering siblings. Results showed that stutterers and nonstutterers siblings did not differ significantly in the frequency of associated articulation difficulties. Instead, articulation difficulties occurred most frequently in late talking subjects compared to early (or average) speakers regardless of the presence or absence of stuttering. Findings of this study suggested that language and articulation onset and development may be more a function of familial patterns and gender than of stuttering.

In general, more studies support than refute the finding that articulation disorders frequently co-exist with stuttering in young children. However, an important consideration in reviewing these studies is the variation in assessment methodology. That is, some studies have used direct examination/observation of children’s speech production, whereas others have relied on questionnaire data and/or parental reports. This one in addition to other methodological considerations has been highlighted in a recent critique of the literature on concomitant speech and language disorders in stuttering children (Nippold, 1990). These are:
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The use of parental interview or informal observation in place of direct testing of children (e.g., Andrews & Harris, 1964; Darley, 1955; Seider et al. 1982).

(b) the absence of data establishing test-retest and inter-rater reliability of articulation assessment (e.g., Blood & Seider, 1981; McDowell, 1928; Williams & Silverman, 1968).

(c) the difficulty in distinguishing true articulation errors from manifestations of stuttering (e.g., Schindler, 1955).

(d) the absence of ethnic and linguistic background matching criteria.

A recent study (Wolk, 1990) was designed to overcome some of these methodological concerns, in an attempt to further explore the co-occurrence of S+DP in young children. Wolk compared the behaviours of children who exhibited both S+DP with those of children who exhibited each disorder in isolation. The methods employed were (a) use of the 162-item picture naming task for direct testing of children’s speech articulation, (b) intra- and inter-rater reliability measures and (c) clearly developed criteria for distinguishing between true articulation errors and stutterings. Findings from this study suggest that stutterers with phonological concerns exhibit some unique disfluency characteristics (e.g., significantly more sound prolongations) which distinguish them from stutterers without phonological difficulties.

There are also reports of “language delay” in young children who stutter, although this does not appear to be nearly as prevalent in young stutterers as articulation difficulties (Bloodstein, 1987). Furthermore, it is often difficult to determine from these studies whether language delay refers exclusively to syntactic, semantic and/or cognitive factors, or whether it is a more global term including phonological difficulties. The following section provides an overview of studies on language delay in young stutterers.

**Language Delays in Children Who Stutter**

Some investigators have reported that stutterers tend to be slower in developing language (Berry, 1958; Morley, 1957), although the Iowa studies (of nearly 200 stutterers and their matched controls) showed slight or no differences (Bloodstein, 1987). Andrews & Harris (1946, p. 35) speculated that the population groups used as subjects in the Iowa studies tended to be representative of higher socio-economic levels which could possibly explain the difference between their findings and those of other studies.

More recently, Accordi et al. (1983, cited in Bloodstein, 1987, p. 215) found a “...reduced language development” in 28 percent of stutterers as opposed to 8.7 percent of a control group. Conversely, Bernstein Ramter & Costa Sih’s (1987) results do not support subtle language differences between normal and stuttering children. However, their findings suggest that disfluency breakdown is significantly correlated with gradual increases in syntactic complexity for both stuttering and normal children.

Some studies have investigated the co-occurrence of disfluency with specific syntactic structures in 2-4 year old normally developing children (Colburn & Mysak, 1988a, 1982b; Helmerich & Bloodstein, 1973). Helmerich & Bloodstein found that pronouns and conjunctions appeared in significantly greater proportion among the disfluent words, than did nouns and verbs. Colburn & Mysak concluded that “developmental disfluency was more strongly attached to the syntax of utterances than to the production of particular words” (1982b, p. 421). Further, they concluded that “...the cognitive effort exerted in learning syntactic structures is reflected in systematic changes in speech disfluency in the early language-learning period” (p. 425).

Murray & Reed (1977) reported that preschool stutterers scored significantly lower than their controls on the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT), the Northwestern Syntax Screening Test (NSST), and the verbal abilities scale of the Iowa Preschool Language Scale. Kline & Starkweather (1979) found that stutterers (aged 3.0 to 6.0 years) had a significantly lower mean length of utterance (M.L.U.) than did nonstutterers, as well as lower scores on the Carrow Test for Auditory Comprehension of Language. In further support for a language delay, Westby (1979) showed that her stutterers scored significantly poorer than normal speaking children in regard to frequency of grammatical errors, in receptive vocabulary on the PPVT, and in responses on semantic tasks selected from the Torrance Test of Creative Thinking.

In a syntactic analysis of the speech of four stutterers (aged 5.0 to 6.0 years) and four age-matched controls, Wall (1980) found that the stutterers tended to use simpler, less mature language. Conversely, Meyers & Freeman (1985) reported no significant differences in M.L.U. between 4.0 to 5.0 year old stutterers and their nonstuttering peers during communicative interaction with their mothers.

Most recently, Enger, Hood & Shulman (1988) examined both language and fluency characteristics of 20 linguistically advanced preschool and school-aged children (aged 3.2 to 7.0 years). They found that, although these linguistically-advanced children exhibited slightly more frequent disfluencies than would be expected, their disfluency patterns paralleled those characteristics of normal speakers (i.e., interjections and revisions). The majority of their disfluencies were “semantically more filled than empty,” occurred internal (rather than external) to the constituent clause, and appeared to be neither physically tense nor highly fragmented.

Thus to date, only limited data are available to support language differences in stutterers, with research results being equivocal regarding the prevalence and specific nature of language abilities between stutterers and nonstutterers.

**Some Possible Explanations for Young Stutterers’ Concomitant Speech and Language Problems**

There have been very few speculations about the meaning of young stutterers’ concomitant speech and language problems. Furthermore, few of these speculations have been supported with empirical research.

One viewpoint, which takes a psychosocial perspective, is that held by Bloodstein (1975, cited in Bloodstein, 1987). He suggested that children with communication disorders are more likely to acquire a sense of failure as speakers and thus learn to struggle with their speech attempts. A second viewpoint is that there is a common predisposition underlying the two problems (stuttering and other speech and/or language problems); that is, they are caused by some extent by the same thing (Bloodstein, 1987, p. 221). For example, West, Kennedy & Carr (1947, p. 93) suggested that “stuttering” and “speech retardation...
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Byrd & Cooper (1988) administered the Blakeley Screening Test for Developmental Apraxia of Speech (STDAS) to 16 young stutterers, 15 developmentally apraxic children, and 15 normal speaking children aged 4.0 to 9.0 years. Results indicated that although significant differences were observed among the three groups on the overall test score (8 subtests), the apraxic and stuttering groups performed similarly on all STDAS subtests except for the articulation subtest. Specifically, they interpreted their findings to provide support for a possible "central neurological processing deficit" in some young stutterers. Other observations by Yoss & Darley (1974) suggest that in some children, articulatory problems and stuttering might both be manifestations of "developmental apraxia". Among 30 children with articulation problems, sixteen performed poorly on a test of oral apraxia. In addition, these children had more repetitions and prolongations in their speech than did the others. There is still some controversy, however, as to the precise definition of the term "developmental apraxia", and, in fact, as to the existence of this disorder as a clinical entity.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, Bloodstein (1987) recently stated: "There is hardly a finding more thoroughly confirmed in the whole range of comparative studies of stutterers and nonsustutterers than the tendency of stutterers to have functional difficulties of articulation, "immature speech and the like" (p. 219-220). It seems, then, that the approximately 30-40% prevalence of articulation difficulties in young stutterers is greater than the approximate 2-6.4% prevalence that would be expected in a typical population (Beitchman, Nair, Clegg, & Patel, 1986; Hull, Mielke, Timmons, & Williford, 1971). Thus, articulation disorders appear to be one of the speech-language disorders most commonly associated with stuttering.

Although much literature is available regarding the nature of speech disfluencies in young stutterers and the nature of phonological difficulties in young children, there is still limited information regarding the co-occurrence of the two disorders in young children. Investigation of this co-occurrence is encouraged since it would appear to have intrinsic value for a deeper understanding of each disorder separately, as well as for the relationship between the two disorders. In addition, we believe such research may have important clinical implications for treating these two coexisting speech disorders.

It is hoped that this review will stimulate research and interest in comorbidity in speech-language pathology, in particular, in the interrelationships between stuttering and disordered phonology and/or language delay in young children. Finally, clinicians are urged to give specialized consideration to the diagnostic, treatment and prognostic implications for children who exhibit both stuttering and disordered phonology as opposed to those who exhibit each disorder in isolation.
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